

**BEFORE THE CHHATTISGARH STATE ELECTRICITY
REGULATORY COMMISSION, RAIPUR**

**PETITION FOR AGGREGATE REVENUE REQUIREMENT FOR FY
2008-09 & 2009-10 AND TARIFF PETITION FOR FY 2009-10 OF
CSPDCL**

Filing no.....

Case No.....

In the matter of: Filing of Tariff Petition for FY 2009-10 and approval of Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) for FY 2008-09 & 2009-10 under Section 62 and 86 (1) (a) of the Electricity Act, 2003 together with the relevant provisions of the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission's Conduct of Business Regulations and "Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff" Regulation

Petitioner Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited

Raipur

The Applicant respectfully submits as under:-

1. This application has been prepared in accordance with Section 62 and 86 (1) (a) of the Electricity Act 2003 together with the relevant provisions of the Chhattisgarh State Electricity Regulatory Commission's Conduct of Business Regulations and Terms and Conditions for determination of Tariff Regulation to the possible extent
2. The Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited (CSPDCL) is a successor to the erstwhile Chhattisgarh State Electricity Board entrusted with the responsibility of Distribution of Electricity in the state of Chhattisgarh. Based on the provisions of Section 62 of the Electricity Act 2003, CSPDCL is filing this petition, in order to meet its

financial requirements in compliance to the directions of the Hon'ble Commission on the matter.

3. This is a petition indicating the Aggregate Revenue Requirement (ARR) and Tariff Proposal of CSPDCL for the year FY 2009-10, approval of the ARR for the year FY 2008-09 and true-up of ARR for FY 2007-08 at actual
4. Status of compliance of directives issued under the previous Tariff order has also been incorporated in the petition

Prayers to the Hon'ble Commission:

The petitioner respectfully prays that the Hon'ble Commission may:

- A. Examine the proposals submitted by the petitioner for a favourable consideration as detailed in the enclosed petition
- B. Implementation of CERC Tariff Regulations, 2009 applicable from FY 2009-10 onwards. The Hon'ble Commission has made it clear that at preset it is following the CERC Tariff Regulation, 2004 but the currency of the same is expiring on 31.03.2009. CERC has already notified the new regulations on 19th January 2009 for the control period 01.04.2009 to 31.03.2014. Hon'ble Commission has informed CSPDCL that the petition be reconstructed in accordance to the new CERC regulations.

In the above context, it is humbly submitted that the following proposition be accepted, namely:

- The CERC norms provides for Return on equity at 17.481% from FY 2009-10 onwards for entities which are liable to pay Minimum Alternate Tax (MAT) as provided in CERC Tariff Regulation-2009. CSPDCL humbly requests the Hon'ble Commission to approve CSPDCL claim of 17.481% as grossed-up Reasonable Return for FY 2009-10

- C. In case of the BPL category the existing energy consumption norm is 30 units/ connection/ month, CSPDCL informs that the average consumption has now increased to 80 units / connection / month on the basis of line loss & cost to serve study undertaken by CSPDCL as per the directives of the commission. CSPDCL requests the Hon'ble Commission to increase the minimum limit allowed for BPL consumers to a reasonable limit of actual consumption of 40 units/ connection/ month
- D. CSPDCL proposes to have only three sub categories under the LV-1 domestic light and fan from the existing four sub categories, as
- 0 to 500 units
 - 0 to 1000 units
 - 0 to Above 1000 units
- E. In case of consumers opting for Demand based LT tariff, CSPDCL proposes that, this category would be applicable only for consumers whose maximum connected load is up to 100 HP or 75 kW, all connection above this criteria shall be required to avail supply under HT category
- F. CSPDCL informs that, to comply with the assurance given by GoCG in the State assembly it is proposed to shift two types of consumers vis. Poultry and Fisheries from the existing Agriculture Allied services to LT Agriculture metered category
- G. CSPDCL proposes to introduce a provision for connection to be provided at 400 kV under Start-up power as many requisitions are being received for supply of start-up power at 400 kV
- H. CSPDCL proposes that surcharge for LT and HT category of consumers should be similar in nature
- CSPDCL proposes that *at present the penal provision on excess demand is 1.5 in practice, it is proposed to increase it to 2 times (twice), similar to the provision made in the Supply Code regarding violations in Terms of Section-126 sub*

section (6) of The Electricity Act 2003.

- I. TOD tariff on peak tariff was introduced by the Hon'ble Commission in Tariff Order for FY 2007-08. The current ToD regime has three time periods vis., *Normal period, Evening Peak load period and Off-peak load period*, CSPDCL proposes to change this to two time periods, namely, *Normal period and Peak period*, due to the following reasons:
- In the state of Chhattisgarh, Off-Peak periods are in variance to the off-peak time periods applicable to other regions of the country
 - As the Demand increases during night hour so no concession is justifiable during night hours, hence CSPDCL proposes to charge normal tariff during night periods also
- J. All the HT categories excluding (Railway traction, Public utilities) and LT categories having connected load above 50 KW excluding (Domestic, Agriculture, Agriculture allied services and Public utilities) should be covered under the ToD regime as per the proposed two time periods
- K. Pass suitable order with respect to the true-up of ARR for FY 2007-08 amounting to Rs. 3219 crore, approve the ARR for FY 2008-09 amounting to Rs. 4481 crore, approve ARR for FY 2009-10 at Rs. 4460 crore and Tariff Petition for FY 2009-10 along with the relevant operational and financial parameters
- L. Approve the Tariff hike across various categories proposed across various categories as the costs have increased steeply since the last tariff hike. Moreover, with the restructuring of the erstwhile CSEB into five different entities, the burden on CSPDCL is likely to increase. Hence, it is requested that the Hon'ble Commission adopt a lenient view while reviewing this hike
- M. Pass suitable order with respect to the revenue surplus of previous years to help retain the surplus in view of the requirements of the restructured entity

N. Condone any inadvertent omission/errors/shortcomings and permit CSPDCL to add/change/modify/alter this filing and make further submissions as may be required at a future date

Pass such further and other orders, as the Hon'ble Commission may deem fit and proper keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case

Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited

Petitioner

Raipur

Dated: February 25, 2009